hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.
REVIEW PETITION NO. 115 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 209 OF 2005

Floreat Investments Ltd. ... Petitioner

Versus

S.B. Deshmukh Sule and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. D.J. Khambatta, Sr.Advocate i/by Maneksha and Sethna for Petitioner.

Mr. Mihir Desai i/by Mr. B.V. Phadnis for R. No. 1.

Mr. K.R. Belosey, Govt. Pleader for R.Nos. 3 to 6.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ
DATED: MARCH 29, 2007

P.C.:

. By this Review Petition, on behalf of the Original Respondent No. 13, the learned counsel states that statement recorded in our order dated 12.9.2006 was made on a mistaken belief.

. The contention of the Petitioners was that the Respondent Nos. 6 to 13 are entitled to use of F.S.I. of 1.33. On behalf of the Respondent Nos. 6 to 13 statement was made that they are only using F.S.I. of 1.33. On account of this the issue raised was not answered.

. The learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 6 to 13

points out that the other issues have been answered on merits. Be that as it may. Considering that the issue was not answered, the order dated 12.9.2006 is hereby recalled. Petition restored. To be placed before the regular Bench hearing Ordinal Side Petitions. No order as to costs.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**